

Torsionfree Dimension of Modules and Self-Injective Dimension of Rings^{*†}

Chonghui Huang^{1‡}, Zhaoyong Huang^{2§}

¹College of Mathematics and Physics, University of South China, Hengyang 421001, P.R. China;

²Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R. China.

Abstract

Let R be a left and right Noetherian ring. We introduce the notion of the torsionfree dimension of finitely generated R -modules. For any $n \geq 0$, we prove that R is a Gorenstein ring with self-injective dimension at most n if and only if every finitely generated left R -module and every finitely generated right R -module have torsionfree dimension at most n , if and only if every finitely generated left (or right) R -module has Gorenstein dimension at most n . For any $n \geq 1$, we study the properties of the finitely generated R -modules M with $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we investigate the relation between these properties and the self-injective dimension of R .

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a left and right Noetherian ring (unless stated otherwise) and $\text{mod } R$ is the category of finitely generated left R -modules. For a module $M \in \text{mod } R$, we use $\text{pd}_R M$, $\text{fd}_R M$, $\text{id}_R M$ to denote the projective, flat, injective dimension of M , respectively.

For any $n \geq 1$, we denote ${}^{\perp n} R = \{M \in \text{mod } R \mid \text{Ext}_R^i({}_R M, {}_R R) = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ (resp. ${}^{\perp n} R_R = \{N \in \text{mod } R^{op} \mid \text{Ext}_{R^{op}}^i(N_R, R_R) = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$), and ${}^{\perp} R = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} {}^{\perp n} R$ (resp. ${}^{\perp} R_R = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} {}^{\perp n} R_R$).

For any $M \in \text{mod } R$, there exists an exact sequence:

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with P_0 and P_1 projective. Then we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow P_0^* \xrightarrow{f^*} P_1^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R^{op}$, where $(-)^* = \text{Hom}(-, R)$ and $\text{Tr } M = \text{Coker } f^*$ is the *transpose* of M ([AB]).

^{*}2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E10, 16E05.

[†]Keywords: Gorenstein rings, n -torsionfree modules, torsionfree dimension, Gorenstein dimension, self-injective dimension, the torsionless property.

[‡]E-mail address: huangchonghui@nju.org.cn

[§]E-mail address: huangzy@nju.edu.cn

Auslander and Bridger generalized the notions of finitely generated projective modules and the projective dimension of finitely generated modules as follows.

Definition 1.1 ([AB]) Let $M \in \text{mod } R$.

- (1) M is said to have *Gorenstein dimension zero* if $M \in {}^\perp_R R$ and $\text{Tr } M \in {}^\perp_R R$.
- (2) For a non-negative integer n , the *Gorenstein dimension* of M , denoted by $\text{G} - \dim_R M$, is defined as $\inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists an exact sequence } 0 \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \text{mod } R \text{ with all } M_i \text{ having Gorenstein dimension zero}\}$. We set $\text{G} - \dim_R M$ infinity if no such integer exists.

Huang introduced in [Hu2] the notion of the left orthogonal dimension of modules as follows, which is “simpler” than that of the Gorenstein dimension of modules.

Definition 1.2 ([Hu2]) For a module $M \in \text{mod } R$, the *left orthogonal dimension* of a module $M \in \text{mod } R$, denoted by ${}^\perp_R R - \dim_R M$, is defined as $\inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists an exact sequence } 0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \text{mod } R \text{ with all } X_i \in {}^\perp_R R\}$. We set ${}^\perp_R R - \dim_R M$ infinity if no such integer exists.

Let $M \in \text{mod } R$. It is trivial that ${}^\perp_R R - \dim_R M \leq \text{G} - \dim_R M$. On the other hand, by [Jo], we have that ${}^\perp_R R - \dim_R M \neq \text{G} - \dim_R M$ in general.

Recall that R is called a *Gorenstein ring* if $\text{id}_R R = \text{id}_{R^{op}} R < \infty$. The following result was proved by Auslander and Bridger in [AB, Theorem 4.20] when R is a commutative Noetherian local ring. Hoshino developed in [H1] Auslander and Bridger’s arguments and applied obtained the obtained results to Artinian algebras. Then Huang generalized in [Hu2, Corollary 3] Hoshino’s result with the left orthogonal dimension replacing the Gorenstein dimension of modules.

Theorem 1.3 ([H1, Theorem] and [Hu2, Corollary 3]) *The following statements are equivalent for an Artinian algebra R .*

- (1) R is Gorenstein.
- (2) Every module in $\text{mod } R$ has finite Gorenstein dimension.
- (3) Every module in $\text{mod } R$ and every module in $\text{mod } R^{op}$ have finite left orthogonal dimension.

One aim of this paper is to generalize this result to left and right Noetherian rings. On the other hand, note that the left orthogonal dimension of modules is defined by the least length of the resolution composed of the modules in ${}^\perp_R R$, which are the modules satisfying one of the two conditions in the definition of modules having Gorenstein dimension zero.

So, a natural question is: If a new dimension of modules is defined by the least length of the resolution composed of the modules satisfying the other condition in the definition of modules having Gorenstein dimension zero, then can one give an equivalent characterization of Gorenstein rings similar to the above result in terms of the new dimension of modules? The other aim of this paper is to give a positive answer to this question. This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we give the definition of n -torsionfree modules, and investigate the properties of such modules. We prove that a module in $\text{mod } R$ is n -torsionfree if and only if it is an n -syzygy of a module in ${}^{\perp_n} R$.

In Section 3, we introduce the notion of the torsionfree dimension of modules. Then we give some equivalent characterizations of Gorenstein rings in terms of the properties of the torsionfree dimension of modules. The following is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 1.4 *For any $n \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *R is a Gorenstein ring with $\text{id}_R R = \text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$.*
- (2) *Every module in $\text{mod } R$ has Gorenstein dimension at most n .*
- (3) *Every module in $\text{mod } R^{op}$ has Gorenstein dimension at most n .*
- (4) *Every module in $\text{mod } R$ and every module in $\text{mod } R^{op}$ have torsionfree dimension at most n .*
- (5) *Every module in $\text{mod } R$ and every module in $\text{mod } R^{op}$ have left orthogonal dimension at most n .*

In Section 4, for any $n \geq 1$, we first prove that every module in ${}^{\perp_n} R$ is torsionless (in this case, ${}^{\perp_n} R$ is said to have the *torsionless property*) if and only if every module in ${}^{\perp_n} R$ is ∞ -torsionfree, if and only if every module in ${}^{\perp_n} R$ has torsionfree dimension at most n , if and only if every n -torsionfree module in $\text{mod } R$ is ∞ -torsionfree, if and only if every n -torsionfree module in $\text{mod } R^{op}$ is in ${}^{\perp} R$, if and only if ${}^{\perp_n} R = {}^{\perp} R$. Note that if $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$, then ${}^{\perp_n} R$ has the torsionless property. As some applications of the obtained results, we investigate when the converse of this assertion holds true. Assume that n and k are positive integers and ${}^{\perp_n} R$ has the torsionless property. If R is $g_n(k)$ or $g_n(k)^{op}$ (see Section 4 for the definitions), then $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n + k - 1$. As a corollary, we have that if $\text{id}_R R \leq n$, then $\text{id}_R R = \text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$ if and only if ${}^{\perp_n} R$ has the torsionless property.

In view of the results obtained in this paper, we pose in Section 5 the following two questions: (1) Is the subcategory of $\text{mod } R$ consisting of modules with torsionfree dimension at most n closed under extensions or under kernels of epimorphisms? (2) If $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$, does then every module $M \in \text{mod } R$ has torsionfree dimension at most n ?

2. Preliminaries

Let $M \in \text{mod } R$ and $n \geq 1$. Recall from [AB] that M is called *n-torsionfree* if $\text{Tr } M \in {}^{\perp_n} R_R$; and M is called *∞ -torsionfree* if M is *n-torsionfree* for all n . We use $\mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$) to denote the subcategory of $\text{mod } R$ consisting of all *n-torsionfree* modules (resp. *∞ -torsionfree* modules). It is well-known that M is 1-torsionfree (resp. 2-torsionfree) if and only if M is torsionless (resp. reflexive) (see [AB]). Also recall from [AB] that M is called an *n-syzygy* module (of A), denoted by $\Omega^n(A)$, if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R$ with all P_i projective. In particular, set $\Omega^0(M) = M$. We use $\Omega^n(\text{mod } R)$ to denote the subcategory of $\text{mod } R$ consisting of all *n-syzygy* modules. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R) \subseteq \Omega^n(\text{mod } R)$, and in general, this inclusion is strict when $n \geq 2$ (see [AB]).

Jans proved in [J, Corollary 1.3] that a module in $\text{mod } R$ is 1-torsionfree if and only if it is an 1-syzygy of a module in ${}^{\perp_1} R_R$. We generalize this result as follows.

Proposition 2.1 *For any $n \geq 1$, a module in $\text{mod } R$ is *n-torsionfree* if and only if it is an *n-syzygy* of a module in ${}^{\perp_n} R_R$.*

Proof. Assume that $M \in \text{mod } R$ is an *n-syzygy* of a module A in ${}^{\perp_n} R_R$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with all P_i projective. Let

$$P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective presentation of M in $\text{mod } R$. Then the above two exact sequences yield the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow P_0^* \xrightarrow{f^*} \cdots \rightarrow P_n^* \rightarrow P_{n+1}^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } M \rightarrow 0.$$

By the exactness of $P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0$, we get that $\text{Tr } M \in {}^{\perp_n} R_R$. Thus M is *n-torsionfree*.

Conversely, assume that $M \in \text{mod } R$ is *n-torsionfree* and

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{g} P_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective presentation of $M \in \text{mod } R$. Then we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M^* \xrightarrow{\pi^*} P_0^* \xrightarrow{g^*} P_1^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R^{op}$. Let

$$\dots \xrightarrow{h_{n+1}} Q_n \xrightarrow{h_n} \dots \xrightarrow{h_1} Q_0 \xrightarrow{h_0} M^* \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of M^* in $\text{mod } R^{op}$. Then we get a projective resolution of $\text{Tr } M$:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{h_{n+1}} Q_n \xrightarrow{h_n} \dots \xrightarrow{h_1} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi^* h_0} P_0^* \xrightarrow{g^*} P_1^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } M \rightarrow 0.$$

Because M is n -torsionfree, $\text{Tr } M \in {}^{\perp n} R_R$ and we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{Tr } M)^* \rightarrow P_1^{**} \xrightarrow{g^{**}} P_0^{**} \xrightarrow{h_0^* \pi^{**}} Q_0^* \xrightarrow{h_1^*} \dots \xrightarrow{h_{n-1}^*} Q_{n-1}^* \rightarrow \text{Coker } h_{n-1}^* \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to see that $M \cong \text{Coker } g^{**}$. By the exactness of $Q_{n-1} \xrightarrow{h_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{h_1} Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi^* h_0} P_0^* \xrightarrow{g^*} P_1^*$, we get that $\text{Coker } h_{n-1}^* \in {}^{\perp n} R_R$. The proof is finished. \square

As an immediate consequence, we have the following

Corollary 2.2 *For any $n \geq 1$, an n -torsionfree module in $\text{mod } R$ is a 1-syzygy of an $(n-1)$ -torsionfree module A in $\text{mod } R$ with $A \in {}^{\perp 1} R_R$. In particular, an ∞ -torsionfree module in $\text{mod } R$ is a 1-syzygy of an ∞ -torsionfree module T in $\text{mod } R$ with $T \in {}^{\perp 1} R_R$.*

We also need the following easy observation.

Lemma 2.3 *For any $n \geq 1$, both $\mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$ are closed under direct summands and finite direct sums.*

3. Torsionfree dimension of modules

In this section, we will introduce the notion of the torsionfree dimension of modules in $\text{mod } R$. Then we will give some equivalent characterizations of Gorenstein rings in terms of the properties of this dimension of modules.

We begin with the following well-known observation.

Lemma 3.1 ([AB, Lemma 3.9]) *Let $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{mod } R$. Then we have exact sequences $0 \rightarrow C^* \rightarrow B^* \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow \text{Coker } f^* \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow \text{Coker } f^* \rightarrow \text{Tr } C \rightarrow \text{Tr } B \rightarrow \text{Tr } A \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R^{op}$.*

The following result is useful in this section.

Proposition 3.2 *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow T_1 \xrightarrow{f} T_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{mod } R$ with both T_0 and T_1 in $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow T \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with P projective and $T \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$.

Proof. Let

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow T_1 \xrightarrow{f} T_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{mod } R$ with both T_0 and T_1 in $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. By Corollary 2.2, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R$ with P projective and $W \in {}^{\perp_1}R_R \cap \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Then we have the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & T_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im } f \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & W & \xlongequal{\quad} & W \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Now, consider the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im } f & \longrightarrow & T_0 & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & W & \xlongequal{\quad} & W & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Because $W \in {}^{\perp_1}R_R$, we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tr } W \rightarrow \text{Tr } T \rightarrow \text{Tr } T_0 \rightarrow 0$$

by Lemma 3.1 and the exactness of the middle column in the above diagram. Because both W and T_0 are in $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$, both $\text{Tr } W$ and $\text{Tr } T_0$ are in ${}^{\perp}R_R$. So $\text{Tr } T$ is also in ${}^{\perp}R_R$ and hence $T \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Connecting the middle rows in the above two diagrams, then we get the desired exact sequence. \square

Now we introduce the notion of the torsionfree dimension of modules as follows.

Definition 3.3 For a module $M \in \text{mod } R$, the *torsionfree dimension* of M , denoted by $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M$, is defined as $\inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists an exact sequence } 0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \text{mod } R \text{ with all } X_i \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)\}$. We set $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M$ infinity if no such integer exists.

Let $M \in \text{mod } R$. It is trivial that $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \leq \text{G} - \dim_R M$. On the other hand, by [Jo], we have that $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \neq \text{G} - \dim_R M$ in general.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $M \in \text{mod } R$ and $n \geq 0$. If $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \leq n$, then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow T \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R$ with $\text{pd}_R H \leq n - 1$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 0$, then $H = 0$ and $T = M$ give the desired exact sequence. If $n = 1$, then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with both T_0 and T_1 in $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Applying Proposition 3.2, with $A = 0$, we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow T'_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with P projective and $T'_0 \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$.

Now suppose $n \geq 2$. Then there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T_n \rightarrow T_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with all $T_i \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Set $K = \text{Im}(T_1 \rightarrow T_0)$. By the induction hypothesis, we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow P_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow T'_1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with all P_i projective and $T'_1 \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Set $N = \text{Im}(P_2 \rightarrow T'_1)$. By Proposition 3.2, we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with P_1 projective and $T \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Thus we get the desired exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow P_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

and the assertion follows. \square

Christensen, Frankild and Holm proved in [CFH, Lemma 2.17] that a module with Gorenstein dimension at most n can be embedded into a module with projective dimension at most n , such that the cokernel is a module with Gorenstein dimension zero. The following result extends this result.

Corollary 3.5 *Let $M \in \text{mod } R$ and $n \geq 0$. If $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \leq n$, then there exists an exact sequences $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R$ with $\text{pd}_R N \leq n$ and $T \in {}^{\perp_1}_R R \cap \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \text{mod } R$ with $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \leq n$. By Proposition 3.4, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow T' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R$ with $\text{pd}_R H \leq n - 1$ and $T' \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. By Corollary 2.2, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T' \rightarrow P \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R$ with P projective and $T \in {}^{\perp_1}_R R \cap \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Consider the following push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & T' & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & T & = & T \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Then the third column in the above diagram is as desired. \square

The following result plays a crucial role in proving the main result in this paper.

Theorem 3.6 *For any $n \geq 0$, if every module in $\text{mod } R$ has torsionfree dimension at most n , then $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$.*

To prove this theorem, we need some lemmas. We use $\text{Mod } R$ to denote the category of left R -modules.

Lemma 3.7 ([I, Proposition 1]) $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R = \sup\{\text{fd}_R E \mid E \text{ is an injective module in } \text{Mod } R\} = \text{fd}_R Q$ for any injective cogenerator Q for $\text{Mod } R$.

Lemma 3.8 *For any $n \geq 0$, $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$ if and only if every module in $\text{mod } R$ can be embedded into a module in $\text{Mod } R$ with flat dimension at most n .*

Proof. Assume that $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$. Then the injective envelope of any module in $\text{mod } R$ has flat dimension at most n by Lemma 3.7, and the necessity follows.

Conversely, let E be any injective module in $\text{Mod } R$. Then by [R, Exercise 2.32], $E = \varinjlim M_i$, where $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of all finitely generated submodules of M and I is a directed index set. By assumption, for any $i \in I$, we have that M_i can be embedded into a module $N_i \in \text{Mod } R$ with $\text{fd}_R N_i \leq n$.

By [R, Exercise 2.32] again, $M_i = \varinjlim_{j \in I_i} M_{ij}$, where $\{M_{ij} \mid j \in I_i\}$ is the set of all finitely generated submodules of M_i and I_i is a directed index set for any $i \in I$. Notice that each $M_{ij} \in \{M_i \mid i \in I\}$, so each corresponding $N_{ij} \in \{N_i \mid i \in I\}$. Put $K_i = \bigoplus_{j \in I_i} N_{ij}$ for any $i \in I$. Then $\{K_i, I\}$ is a direct system of modules with flat dimension at most n , and there exists a monomorphism $0 \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow K_i$ for any $i \in I$. It follows from [R, Theorem 2.18] that we get a monomorphism $0 \rightarrow E (= \varinjlim_{i \in I} M_i) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{i \in I} K_i$. Because the functor Tor commutes with \varinjlim by [R, Theorem 8.11], $\text{fd}_R \varinjlim_{i \in I} K_i \leq n$. So $\text{fd}_R E \leq n$ and hence $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$ by Lemma 3.7. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.6. By assumption and Corollary 3.5, we have that every module in $\text{mod } R$ can be embedded into a module in $\text{mod } R$ with projective dimension at most n . Then by Lemma 3.8, we get the assertion. \square

Lemma 3.9 *For any $M \in \text{mod } R$ and $n \geq 0$, ${}^\perp_R R - \dim_R M \leq n$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^{n+i}(M, R) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. For any $M \in \text{mod } R$, consider the following exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow W_n \rightarrow W_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with all W_i in ${}^\perp_R R$. Then we have that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Im}(W_n \rightarrow W_{n-1}), R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+i}(M, R)$ for any $i \geq 1$. So $\text{Im}(W_n \rightarrow W_{n-1}) \in {}^\perp_R R$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^{n+i}(M, R) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$, and hence the assertion follows. \square

Proposition 3.10 *For any $n \geq 0$, every module in $\text{mod } R$ has left orthogonal dimension at most n if and only if $\text{id}_R R \leq n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.9, we have that $\text{id}_R R \leq n$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^{n+i}(M, R) = 0$ for any $M \in \text{mod } R$ and $i \geq 1$, if and only if ${}^\perp_R R - \dim_R M \leq n$ for any $M \in \text{mod } R$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. (1) \Rightarrow (2) + (3) follows from [HuT, Theorem 3.5].

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be any module in $\text{mod } R$. Then by assumption, we have that $\text{G} - \dim_R M \leq n$ and $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \leq n$. So $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$ by Theorem 3.6. On the other hand, because ${}^\perp_R R - \dim_R M \leq \text{G} - \dim_R M$, $\text{id}_R R \leq n$ by Proposition 3.10.

Symmetrically, we get (3) \Rightarrow (1).

(4) \Rightarrow (1) By Theorem 3.6 and its symmetric version.

(2) + (3) \Rightarrow (4) Because $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \leq G - \dim_R M$ and $\mathcal{T} - \dim_{R^{op}} N \leq G - \dim_{R^{op}} N$ for any $M \in \text{mod } R$ and $N \in \text{mod } R^{op}$, the assertion follows.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (5) By Proposition 3.10 and its symmetric version. \square

4. The torsionless property and self-injective dimension

The following result plays a crucial role in this section, which generalizes [H1, Lemma 4], [Hu3, Lemma 2.1] and [J, Theorem 5.1].

Proposition and Definition 4.1 *For any $n \geq 1$, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) ${}^{\perp n}_R R \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1(\text{mod } R)$. In this case, ${}^{\perp n}_R R$ is said to have the torsionless property.
- (2) ${}^{\perp n}_R R \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$.
- (3) Every module in ${}^{\perp n}_R R$ has torsionfree dimension at most n .
- (4) $\mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R) = \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$.
- (5) $\mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R^{op}) \subseteq {}^{\perp}_R R$.
- (6) ${}^{\perp n}_R R = {}^{\perp}_R R$.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) and (2) \Rightarrow (3) are trivial, and (1) \Leftrightarrow (6) follows from [Hu3, Lemma 2.1]. Note that M and $\text{Tr Tr } M$ are projectively equivalent for any $M \in \text{mod } R$ or $\text{mod } R^{op}$. Then it is not difficult to verify (2) \Leftrightarrow (5) and (4) \Leftrightarrow (6). So it suffices to prove (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (3) \Rightarrow (2).

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that $M \in {}^{\perp n}_R R$. Then M is torsionless by (1). So, by Proposition 2.1, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R$ with P_0 projective and $M_1 \in {}^{\perp 1}_R R$, which yields that $M_1 \in {}^{\perp_{n+1}}_R R$. Then M_1 is torsionless by (1), and again by Proposition 2.1, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } R$ with P_1 projective and $M_2 \in {}^{\perp 1}_R R$, which yields that $M_1 \in {}^{\perp_{n+2}}_R R$. Repeating this procedure, we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with all P_i projective and $\text{Im}(P_i \rightarrow P_{i+1}) \in {}^{\perp_{n+i+1}}_R R \subseteq {}^{\perp_{i+1}}_R R$, which implies that M is ∞ -torsionfree by Proposition 2.1.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that $M \in {}^{\perp n}_R R$. Then $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \leq n$ by assumption. By Proposition 3.4, there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow T \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

in $\text{mod } R$ with $\text{pd}_R H \leq n - 1$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$. Because $M \in {}^\perp_n R$, the sequence (1) splits, which implies that $M \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$ by Lemma 2.3. \square

Similarly, we have the following result.

Proposition and Definition 4.2 *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) ${}^\perp_R R \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1(\text{mod } R)$. In this case, ${}^\perp_R R$ is said to have the torsionless property.
- (2) ${}^\perp_R R \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$.
- (3) Every module in ${}^\perp_R R$ has finite torsionfree dimension.
- (4) $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R^{op}) \subseteq {}^\perp_R R$.

Let $N \in \text{mod } R^{op}$ and

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\delta_0} E_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_i} E_i \xrightarrow{\delta_{i+1}} \cdots$$

be an injective resolution of N . For a positive integer n , recall from [CoF] that an injective resolution as above is called *ultimately closed* at n if $\text{Im } \delta_n = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m W_j$, where each W_j is a direct summand of $\text{Im } \delta_{i_j}$ with $i_j < n$. By [Hu3, Corollary 2.3], if R_R has a ultimately closed injective resolution at n or $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$, then ${}^\perp_n R$ (and hence ${}^\perp_R R$) has the torsionless property.

The following result generalizes [Z, Lemma A], which states that $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R = \text{id}_R R$ if both of them are finite.

Corollary 4.3 *If $n = \min\{t \mid {}^\perp_t R \text{ has the torsionless property}\}$ and $m = \min\{s \mid {}^\perp_s R \text{ has the torsionless property}\}$, then $n = m$.*

Proof. We may assume that $n \leq m$. Let $N \in {}^\perp_n R$. Then $N \in {}^\perp_R R (\subseteq {}^\perp_m R)$ by Proposition 4.1. So $N \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R^{op})$ and ${}^\perp_n R$ has the torsionless property by the symmetric version of Proposition 4.1. Thus $n \geq m$ by the minimality of m . The proof is finished. \square

In the following, we will investigate the relation between the torsionless property and the self-injective dimension of R . We have seen that if $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$, then ${}^\perp_n R$ has the torsionless property. In the rest of this section, we will investigate when the converse of this assertion holds true.

Proposition 4.4 *Assume that m and n be positive integers and $\Omega^m(\text{mod } R^{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R^{op})$. If ${}^\perp_n R$ has the torsionless property, then $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq m$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \Omega^m(\text{mod } R^{op})$. Then $M \in \mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R^{op})$ by assumption. Because ${}^\perp_n R$ has the torsionless property by assumption, $M \in {}^\perp_R R$ by Proposition 4.1. Then it is easy

to verify that $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq m$. □

Assume that

$$0 \rightarrow {}_R R \rightarrow I^0(R) \rightarrow I^1(R) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I^i(R) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is a minimal injective resolution of ${}_R R$.

Lemma 4.5 *If ${}^{\perp n} {}_R R$ has the torsionless property, $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n I^i(R)$ is an injective cogenerator for $\text{Mod } R$.*

Proof. For any $S \in \text{mod } R$, we claim that $\text{Hom}_R(S, \bigoplus_{i=0}^n I^i(R)) \neq 0$. Otherwise, we have that $\text{Ext}_R^i(S, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(S, I^i(R)) = 0$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. So $S \in {}^{\perp n} {}_R R$ and hence S is reflexive by assumption and Proposition 4.1, which yields that $S \cong S^{**} = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus we conclude that $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n I^i(R)$ is an injective cogenerator for $\text{Mod } R$. □

Proposition 4.6 *$\text{id}_{R^{op}} R < \infty$ if and only if ${}^{\perp n} {}_R R$ has the torsionless property for some $n \geq 1$ and $\text{fd}_R \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} I^i(R) < \infty$.*

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Lemmas 4.5 and 3.7, and the necessity follows from Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 3.7. □

For any $n, k \geq 1$, recall from [HuIy] that R is said to be $g_n(k)$ if $\text{Ext}_{R^{op}}^j(\text{Ext}_R^{i+k}(M, R), R) = 0$ for any $M \in \text{mod } R$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq i - 1$; and R is said to be $g_n(k)^{op}$ if R^{op} is $g_n(k)$. It follows from [Iy, 6.1] that R is $g_n(k)$ (resp. $g_n(k)^{op}$) if $\text{fd}_{R^{op}} I^i(R^{op})$ (resp. $\text{fd}_R I^i(R)$) $\leq i + k$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Theorem 4.7 *Assume that n and k are positive integers and ${}^{\perp n} {}_R R$ has the torsionless property. If R is $g_n(k)$ or $g_n(k)^{op}$, then $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n + k - 1$.*

Proof. Assume that ${}^{\perp n} {}_R R$ has the torsionless property.

If R is $g_n(k)$, then $\Omega^{n+k-1}(\text{mod } R) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R) = \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$ by [HuIy, Theorem 3.4] and Proposition 4.1, which implies that the torsionfree dimension of every module in $\text{mod } R$ is at most $n + k - 1$. So $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n + k - 1$ by Theorem 3.6.

If R is $g_n(k)^{op}$, then $\Omega^{n+k-1}(\text{mod } R^{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R^{op})$ by the symmetric version of [HuIy, Theorem 3.4], which implies $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n + k - 1$ by Proposition 4.4. □

By Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.6 or Theorem 4.7, we immediately get the following

Corollary 4.8 *If $\text{fd}_R \bigoplus_{i=0}^n I^i(R) \leq n$, then $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$ if and only if ${}^{\perp n} {}_R R$ has the torsionless property.*

Recall that the Gorenstein symmetric conjecture states that $\text{id}_R R = \text{id}_{R^{op}} R$ for any Artinian algebra R , which remains still open. Hoshino proved in [H2, Proposition 2.2] that

if $\text{id}_R R \leq 2$, then $\text{id}_R R = \text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq 2$ if and only if ${}^{\perp_2} R$ has the torsionless property. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.7, the following corollary generalizes this result.

Corollary 4.9 *For any $n \geq 1$, if $\text{id}_R R \leq n$, then $\text{id}_R R = \text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$ if and only if ${}^{\perp_n} R$ has the torsionless property.*

Proof. The necessity follows from Proposition 4.1. We next prove the sufficiency. If $\text{id}_R R \leq n$, then $\text{fd}_{R^{op}} \bigoplus_{i=0}^n I^i(R^{op}) \leq n$ by Lemma 3.7, which implies that R is $g_n(n)$ by [Iy, 6.1]. Thus $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq 2n - 1$ by Theorem 4.7. It follows from [Z, Lemma A] that $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$. \square

5. Questions

In view of the results obtained above, the following two questions are worth being studied.

Note that both the subcategory of $\text{mod } R$ consisting of modules with Gorenstein dimension at most n and that consisting of modules with left dimension at most n are closed under extensions and under kernels of epimorphisms. So, it is natural to ask the following

Question 5.1 *Is the subcategory of $\text{mod } R$ consisting of modules with torsionfree dimension at most n closed under extensions or under kernels of epimorphisms? In particular, Is $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$ closed under extensions or under kernels of epimorphisms?*

For any $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{T}_n(\text{mod } R)$ is not closed under extensions by [Hu1, Theorem 3.3]. On the other hand, we have the following

Claim *If ${}^{\perp} R$ has the torsionless property, then the answer to Question 5.1 is positive.*

In fact, if ${}^{\perp} R$ has the torsionless property, then, by the symmetric version of Proposition 4.2, we have that $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R) \subseteq {}^{\perp} R$ and every module in $\mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$ has Gorenstein dimension zero. So the torsionfree dimension and the Gorenstein dimension of any module in $\text{mod } R$ coincide, and the claim follows.

By the symmetric version of [Hu3, Corollary 2.3], if ${}_R R$ has a ultimately closed injective resolution at n or $\text{id}_R R \leq n$, then the condition in the above claim is satisfied. This fact also means that the above claim extends [Hu1, Corollary 2.5].

It is also interesting to know whether the converse of Theorem 3.6 holds true. That is, we have the following

Question 5.2 *Does $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq n$ imply that every module $M \in \text{mod } R$ has torsionfree dimension at most n ?*

Claim When $n = 1$, the answer to Question 5.2 is positive.

Assume that $\text{id}_{R^{op}} R \leq 1$ and $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in $\text{mod } R$ with P projective. Then $\text{Ext}_{R^{op}}^i(\text{Tr } K, R) = 0$ for any $i \geq 2$. Notice that K is torsionless, so $\text{Ext}_{R^{op}}^1(\text{Tr } K, R) = 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{T}(\text{mod } R)$, which implies $\mathcal{T} - \dim_R M \leq 1$. Consequently the claim is proved.

Acknowledgements This research was partially supported by the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (Grant No. 20060284002), NSFC (Grant No. 10771095) and NSF of Jiangsu Province of China (Grant No. BK2007517).

References

- [AB] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable Module Theory*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **94**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969.
- [CFH] L.W. Christensen, A. Frankild and H. Holm, *On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions—A functorial description with applications*, J. Algebra, **302** (2006), 231–279.
- [CoF] R.R. Colby and K.R. Fuller, *A note on the Nakayama conjecture*, Tsukuba J. Math., **14** (1990), 343–352.
- [H1] M. Hoshino, *Algebras of finite self-injective dimension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **112** (1991), 112–119.
- [H2] M. Hoshino, *Reflexive modules and rings with self-injective dimension two*, Tsukuba J. Math., **13** (1989), 419–422.
- [Hu1] Z. Y. Huang, *Extension closure of k -torsionfree modules*, Comm. Algebra, **27** (1999), 1457–1464.
- [Hu2] Z.Y. Huang, *Selforthogonal modules with finite injective dimension*, Sci. in China (Series A), **43** (2000), 1174–1181.
- [Hu3] Z.Y. Huang, *Approximation presentations of modules and homological conjectures*, Comm. Algebra, **36** (2008), 546–563.
- [HuIy] Z.Y. Huang and O. Iyama, *Auslander-type conditions and cotorsion pairs*, J. Algebra, **318** (2007), 93–110.

- [HuT] Z.Y. Huang and G.H. Tang, *Self-orthogonal modules over coherent rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **161** (2001), 167–176.
- [I] Y. Iwanaga, *On rings with finite self-injective dimension II*, Tsukuba J. Math., **4** (1980), 107–113.
- [Iy] O. Iyama, *τ -Categories III: Auslander Orders and Auslander-Reiten Quivers*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **8** (2005), 601–619.
- [J] J.P. Jans, *On Finitely Generated Modules over Noetherian Rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **106** (1963), 330–340.
- [Jo] D.A. Jorgensen and L.M. Şega, *Independence of the total reflexivity conditions for modules*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **9** (2006), 217–226.
- [R] J.J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [Z] A. Zaks, *Injective dimension of semi-primary rings*, J. Algebra, **13** (1969), 73–86.